

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	05/23/2001	Noriaki Watanabe	19519-302	9431	
09/862,497			(9317-302		
7590 05/02/2003 Platon N. Mandros BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.			EXAMINER		
			CHU, JOHN S Y		
P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1752 DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		LN					
, ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/862,497	WATANABE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John S. Chu	1752					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	7 April 2003 .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ -	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the applicatio							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 4</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are rejected.							
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No. <u>08/287,568</u> .					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .					

	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/862,497	7 WATANABE ET AL.		AL.			
interview Junimary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	John S. Chu		1752				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>John S. Chu</u> .	(3)						
(2) Robert Mukai.	(4)						
Date of Interview: 17 April 2003.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant'	s representativ	e]	:			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: 1.							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊡ was no	t reached. h)[∑	☑ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant noted that the Office action, Paper No. 4 did not address claim 1.</u> <u>Examiner indicated that a new Office action would be sent out addressing the claim and that the statutory period would be restarted</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the ame	ne examiner ag endments that v	reed would rend vould render the	er the claims claims			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	E	Examiner's sign	nature, if required	t t			

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check the appropriate box at the bottom of the Form which informs the applicant that the submission of a separate record of the substance of the interview as a supplement to the Form is not required.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,

(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)

- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the application filed May 23, 2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by KAWAMURA et al '640.

The claimed invention is drawn to a photosensitive resin composition containing a high molecular compound having at least the constituting component represented by formulae (1), (2) and (3) as copolymer components, and o-quinonediazide compound:

CH2=CA(COO-R'-R)

(1)

(2)

wherein A represents a hydrogen atom or a methyl group; R' represents a single bond, $-(CH_2)_m-$, $-(CH_2)_mNR^mSO_2-$, or $-(CH_2)_mNR^mCO-$; m represents an integer of from 1 to 4; R'' represents a hydrogen atom or an alkyl group; R_{ℓ} represents C_nF_{m+1} ; and n represents an integer of 3 or more;

CH1=CA[CO-F-R1-SONH-R4]

wherein A represents a hydrogen atom or a methyl group; W represents an oxygen atom or $-NR_3-$; R_3 represents a hydrogen atom, an alkyl group, or an aryl group; R_1 represents an alkylene group or an arylene group, each of which may have a substituent; and R_2 represents a hydrogen atom, an alkyl group, or an aryl group;

CH4=CA (CO-W-R4) (3)

wherein A represents a hydrogen atom or a methyl group; W represents an oxygen atom or $-NR_5-$; R_5 represents a hydrogen atom, an alkyl group, or an aryl group; and R_5 represents an aliphatic group having 9 or more carbon atoms, or an aromatic group substituted with an aliphatic group having 2 or more carbon atoms.

KAWAMURA et al anticipates the claimed invention at Examples 2B, 5B, 8B, 11B as seen in Tables 1B, 4B, 6B, 8B, 9B, respectively. The polymer used in those Examples is P₁-12 which anticipates the copolymer of claim 2.

3. Claims 1, 3 and 4 are allowed.

Claim 1 is drawn to a photosensitive resin composition containing a high molecular compound having at least a) a fluoro aliphatic group, and b) a group

represented by formula -L-P (wherein L represents a divalent organic group connected to the skeleton of the high molecular compound, and P represents an aromatic group having a carboxyl group at the ortho-position).

None of the prior art references of record discloses the high molecular weight compound having the group b) wherein P is an aromatic group having a carboxyl group at the ortho-position.

The claims are further drawn to a photosensitive lithographic printing plate comprising a support having coated thereon a photosensitive layer containing the following components (a), (b) and (c),

- (a) an o-naphthoquinonediazide compound
- (b) a resin soluble in an alkaline aqueous solution, and
- (c) a polymer having a (meth)acrylate monomer having two or three perfluoroalkyl groups having from 3 to 20 carbon atoms in the molecule as a polymer component.
- 4. None of the prior art references of record disclose the claimed lithographic printing plate having the polymer of ingredient (c) in a photosensitive layer with ingredients (a) and (b).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. HIGASHINO et al is cited of interest for teaching a lithographic printing plate having a quinonediazide compound, a novolak and a polymer, however this polymer fails to meet the claimed polymer in claims 3 and 4.

KAWAUCHI et al is the patent to the parent application 09/287,568.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Chu whose telephone number is (703) 308-2298. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

John S. Chu

Primary Examiner, Group 1700

J.Chu April 30, 2003